Pareto's 14th annual Oil & Offshore Conference September 29, 2007 CEO Terje Eidesmo

Finding hydrocarbons™

emgs

Disclaimer

This presentation includes and is based, inter alia, on forward-looking information and statements that are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ. Such forward-looking information and statements are based on current expectations, estimates and projections about global economic conditions, the economic conditions of the regions and industries that are major markets for Electromagnetic Geoservices ASA (EMGS) and its subsidiaries. These expectations, estimates and projections are generally identifiable by statements containing words such as "expects", "believes", "estimates" or similar expressions. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expectations include, among others, economic and market conditions in the geographic areas and industries that are or will be major markets for the EMGS' businesses, oil prices, market acceptance of new products and services, changes in governmental regulations, interest rates, fluctuations in currency exchange rates and such other factors as may be discussed from time to time. Although Electromagnetic Geoservices ASA believes that its expectations and the information in this presentation were based upon reasonable assumptions at the time when they were made, it can give no assurance that those expectations will be achieved or that the actual results will be as set out in this presentation. Electromagnetic Geoservices ASA nor any other company within the EMGS Group is making any representation or warranty, expressed or implied, as to the accuracy, reliability or completeness of the information in the presentation, and neither Electromagnetic Geoservices ASA, any other company within the EMGS Group nor any of their directors, officers or employees will have any liability to you or any other persons resulting from your use of the information in the presentation. Electromagnetic Geoservices ASA undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking information or statements in the presentation.




The fastest growing segment in oil services

Seabed logging technology invented in 1997

EMGS established in 2002


Accepted as one of the most popular exploration technologies in 2007

Will be awarded The Virgil Kauffman Gold Medal by the Society of Exploration Geophysicists (SEG) in September 2007





The seabed logging method



Resistivity is the most significant parameter for sediments in oil exploration

In general, the subsurface resistivity is,


- low for water saturated rocks and sediments
- high for hydrocarbon saturated rocks and sediments

Seabed logging measures resistivity of the reservoir before drilling



The seabed logging pioneer

Seismic




- Detects structures **not** fluid contents
- Long turnaround time 6+ months

~25% exploration success rate

- · High uncertainty before drilling
- High economic and opportunity cost of drilling

The EMGS solution




- Remote detection of offshore hydrocarbons
- Rapid turnaround days

> 90% technical success rate

- High degree of confidence before drilling
- More efficient use of drilling resources
- Synergistic integration with seismic data




Scanning – The future of exploration



A compelling case






A proven track record




Seismic indicated only residual hydrocarbons

Seabed logging data showed presence of hydrocarbons below shallow gas

Second well drilled and commercial discovery made



Based on more than 40 wells the success rate is above 90 per cent



Current fleet



| | Date of refurbishment | Charter expiration | Operational review |
|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--|
| Siem Mollie | August 2007 | August 2010 | Operational in August and commence operations in North Sea |
| Relume | August 2006 | August 2008 | Steaming from Africa to Norway in first part of quarter. Operations in North Sea |
| Sasha | January 2007 | March 2010 | Operations in Asia Pacific and mobilised for India in May. Expected to operate in India going forward |
| Atlantic Guardia | n February 2007 | January 2011 | Operated offshore Australia. The vessel is expected to be working in Asia Pacific. |
| Stad Angler | January 2007 | April 2008 | Operated in Brazil first part of quarter and in North Sea last part. Predominantly in Canada in Q3 |



Fleet expansion program



EMGS will take delivery of two purpose-built vessels in 2008

Long term charter contracts set to expire in 2013

Option for three additional one-years periods

Current growth plan secured through 2008



Low capex and scaleable business model

| Typical capex per vessel | | Vessel flexibility | | |
|--------------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
| EMGS | (USD million) | | | |
| | 10-15 | Leased vessels offer significant operational and geographic flexibility: | | |
| La Harris | 10-15 | Not constrained to specific vessel type | | |
| | | Equipment transported easily and mobilized globally at short notice | | |
| Seismic | 170+ | Dedicated vessels with few alternative uses | | |
| Drilling | | Dedicated rig | | |
| | 500+ | Capacity expansion halted by yard availability | | |

Operational experience and a fully integrated offering
















Strong market fundamentals

Hunger for energy, high oil prices

Falling reserve replacement ratio

Cost of dry wells tripled over the last few years

Need for smarter exploration

Exploration without drilling



Perfect positioning in market environment in the foreseeable future



Fast market development

Seabed logging penetration across the industry

Oil majors

National oil companies

Independant oil companies

Governmental

Wide geographic reach


Present in all major oil regions

Discussions on many new frontier areas



EMGS possesses information of strategic importance

Wide and long term customer base





























































Financial highlights in second quarter



M/V Sasha in full production

Revenues increased by 46.1 per cent from Q1 and by 26.2 per cent from Q2 2006

Deferred revenues of USD 4.5 million

Positive EBITDA


Maintains revenue expectation of USD 160-170 million in 2007




Growth plans secured through 2008

Strong revenue growth

Expected vessel expansion









Strong growth prospects


More than 90% success rate predicting absence/presence of hydrocarbons

Increases exploration success


Avoids dry wells

Improves allocation of scarce drilling resources

Finds undiscovered hydrocarbons



High barriers to entry






Outlook

More and more companies adopt the seabed logging technology

Anticipated market potential USD 200 million in 2007

In EMGS' opinion the market could potentially double annually beyond 2007

Seabed logging can reach the size of marine seismic market





the seabed logging company

In the future oil companies will not drill without seabed logging



Q & A

Appendix

Consolidated income statement

| USD million | Quarterly | | | Year to date | | Full year |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|---------|---|--------------|-------|-----------|
| | Q2 2007 | Q2 2006 | | 2007 | 2006 | 2006 |
| Revenues | 37.8 | 30.0 | | 63.7 | 55.6 | 117.7 |
| | | | _ | | | |
| Charter hire, fuel and crew expenses | 24.7 | 14.4 | | 43.6 | 27.8 | 62.7 |
| Employee expenses | 6.7 | 2.9 | | 17.9 | 5.5 | 18.2 |
| Other operating expenses | 5.8 | 2.6 | | 9.7 | 4.9 | 16.2 |
| EBITDA | 0.5 | 10.1 | | (7.4) | 17.3 | 20.7 |
| | | | _ | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 3.0 | 1.7 | | 5.6 | 3.2 | 7.5 |
| EBIT | (2.5) | 8.3 | | (13.0) | 14.1 | 13.2 |
| | | | | | | |
| Net financial items | 0.1 | (1.9) | | (1.0) | (3.5) | (32.8) |
| Profit (loss) before tax | (2.4) | 6.5 | | (14.0) | 10.7 | (19.6) |
| | | | _ | | | |
| Tax | 0.6 | 0.9 | | 1.3 | 1.4 | 5.6 |
| Net profit (loss) | (3.0) | 5.6 | | (15.3) | 9.3 | (25.3) |



Condensed balance sheet

| USD million | Half year ended 30 June 2007 | Half year ended 30 June 2006 | Year ended 31 December 2006 | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| ASSETS | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 89.5 | 7.3 | 20.5 | | |
| Trade receivables | 41.8 | 20.2 | 31.9 | | |
| Other current assets | 13.5 | 8.0 | 8.8 | | |
| Total current assets | 144.9 | 35.5 | 61.2 | | |
| Intangible assets | 2.2 | 1.2 | 2.4 | | |
| Property, plant and equipment | 32.9 | 18.9 | 23.3 | | |
| Total non-current assets | 35.2 | 20.1 | 25.7 | | |
| TOTAL ASSETS | 180.0 | 55.4 | 86.9 | | |
| LIABILITIES | | | | | |
| Trade payables | 19.0 | 17.1 | 20.7 | | |
| Borrowings | 21.5 | 22.2 | 13.6 | | |
| Fair value conversion rights | - | 24.7 | - | | |
| Current tax liability | 4.5 | 0.8 | 4.8 | | |
| Other short term liabilites | 15.1 | 9.9 | 7.8 | | |
| Total current liabilities | 60.1 | 74.7 | 46.9 | | |
| Total non-current liabilities | 3.0 | 5.9 | 22.9 | | |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | 63.1 | 80.6 | 69.8 | | |
| EQUITY | | | | | |
| Total equity | 116.9 | (25.0) | 17.1 | | |
| | | | | | |
| TOTAL EQUITY AND LIABILITIES | 180.0 | 55.5 | 86.9 | | |



Condensed statement of cash flow


| USD million | Year to date | | | Full year |
|---|--------------|-------|---|-----------|
| | 2007 | 2006 | | 2006 |
| Net cash flow from operating activities | (15.4) | (4.3) | | (4.0) |
| | | | | |
| Net cash flow from investing activities | (14.6) | (4.6) | | (14.4) |
| | | | | |
| Net cash flow from financial activities | 99.0 | 13.6 | | 36.3 |
| | | | _ | |
| Net profit (loss) | 69.1 | 4.7 | | 17.9 |

A global platform





Management team



Ownership (as of August 15)

| Shareholder | Shares | Holding |
|--|------------|---------|
| Warburg Pincus | 46,307,312 | 62.85% |
| State Street Bank & Trust | 3,751,323 | 5.09% |
| UBS AG, London branch | 2,258,228 | 3.06% |
| JP Morgan Chase Bank | 1,978,800 | 2.69% |
| Investors Bank and Trust Company | 1,506,500 | 2.04% |
| Bjarte Bruheim | 1,460,302 | 1.98% |
| Fortis Bank Luxembourg S.A. | 1,369,180 | 1.86% |
| Morgan Stanley & Co Inc. | 908,813 | 1.23% |
| Terje Eidesmo | 854,214 | 1.16% |
| Svein Ellingsrud | 770,214 | 1.05% |
| Brown Brothers Harriman and Co. | 607,100 | 0.82% |
| Ståle Emil Johansen | 526,214 | 0.71% |
| Erik Skogen. | 472,881 | 0.64% |
| Luxembourg Fund Serviced by Aamlux (SICAV) | 418,511 | 0.57% |
| Fid.Funds-EUR SM./COMP./SICAV | 382,590 | 0.52% |
| Other | 63,576,409 | 18.65% |
| TOTAL | 73,683,323 | 100.0% |

